

~Evolutionist calls Creationists ignorant and wicked!~

Written by Don Preston

Richard Dawkins, noted evolutionist from Oxford University, made the quote, below, in reference to those who question the validity of evolution. His actual statement was: “It is absolutely safe to say that if you meet somebody who claims not to believe in evolution, that person is ignorant, stupid, or insane, (or wicked, but I’d rather not consider that).”

It seems that the evolutionary field is in a state of turmoil, if not, in some instances, outright panic. Scientists that once taught and defended atheistic evolution have become creationists, openly attacking the Darwinian theory they were taught in college.

Why are the evolutionists so adamant against the creationist view? Why are they, like Dawkins, attacking those who dare challenge the “dominant paradigm”? Geneticist Richard Lewontin, of Harvard, revealed part of the reason when he reviewed Carl Sagan’s book, *Billions and Billions*. Lewontin said evolutionists “have a prior commitment, a commitment to naturalism. It is not that the methods and institutions of science somehow compel us to accept a material explanation of the phenomenal world, but, on the contrary, that we are forced by our a priori adherence to material causes to create an apparatus of investigation and set of concepts that produce material explanations, no matter how counter-intuitive, no matter how mystifying to the uninitiated. Moreover, that materialism is absolute, for we cannot allow a divine foot in the door.”

Did you catch that? Here is a leading evolutionist saying that the reason evolutionists are so dead set against creation is because they are determined not to believe in creation! They have their minds made up that the world has a natural explanation and nothing is going to change their minds! They cannot “allow a divine foot in the door.”

We are living in exciting times. Archaeological findings continue to confirm the Biblical testimony. Science continues to point to a Creator. There is a New Reformation on going in the Christian world, as more and more discover the truth of God’s fulfilled Scheme of Redemption. The evidence is there for those who are willing to take a look, honestly, with an open mind. Jesus challenged his audience not to believe his claims just because he claimed to be something. He challenged his audiences to “believe me for my works sake” (John 10:31f). A closed mind, or one that has already been set in concrete, will never discover the joy of discovery, nor the liberty of Truth!

Faith comes by hearing the evidence of the Word of God (Romans 10:17). We invite you to be willing to open your mind, take a look at Jesus and the evidence of his life, his death and his resurrection. Through him, you can come to believe in God (1 Peter 1:19-20)

Troubling Discovery for Evolutionists

The July, 2003 Smithsonian magazine, (pp. 66+) in an article entitled “Close Encounters of the Sneaky Kind” reports a discovery that has almost unbelievable implications for the evolutionary theory. The admits that the discovery runs counter to the “accepted dogma,” to such an extent that it even asks, “What is wrong with this picture? Absolutely everything.” However, the article does not develop in-depth

exactly why the discovery is so counter to the norm, and it certainly does not discuss at length about what the discovery means for the foundation of evolutionary thought.

To understand the importance of the discovery, you have to understand the foundational pillars of evolution: “survival of the fittest” and “natural selection.” In short, evolution teaches that in nature, competition for breeding rights weeds out the weakest of the gene pool. Battles for supremacy ensure that the strongest specimens win out, and then pass on their genes. Thus, as the strongest breed and by passing on their genes, this ensures the ever upward evolutionary journey. Now, there are lots of problems with this theory, even though it does sound good, in theory. And now, this discovery calls that theory into question in a very strange and powerful way.

Here is what has been discovered. In animals as diverse and wide ranging as dung beetles to orangutans, it has been discovered that the weaker members of the species are in fact, very successful in breeding in spite of the fact that they may even have been defeated in combat for the breeding rights! In one dung beetle species in Panama, the dominant male fights all comers, and seemingly wins the breeding rights to the female that he has sequestered underground. He then stands at the entrance of the tunnel leading to his prize.

Meanwhile, what scientists are now calling “satellite males” or “sneaker males,” that are no match for the dominant male jealously guarding the tunnel entrance, digs his own tunnel at an angle that intersects with the females hiding place. He digs into her chamber, breeds with her, and then makes his escape, all while “Brutus” guards what he thinks is the secure “bridal chamber.” This scrawny specimen then proceeds to go to other chambers passing on his genes to female after female. This same scenario, in different form, is played out in all sorts of different animals throughout the world. In other words, it only looked like “the fittest” was passing on his genes!

The implications for the evolutionary theory are profound, and far reaching. As the Smithsonian article says “everything” is wrong with what has now been discovered. This discovery violates every tenet of evolutionary doctrine about sexual behavior. It will be fascinating to see how the evolutionary world copes with this important discovery. For now, they seem to be deeply troubled, but are not showing exactly how troubled, perhaps hoping that those who believe in creation will not catch onto what has been found. It will be fascinating to watch, and we will keep you posted.

Intelligent Design

The debate over evolution and creation not only continues to rage, it is heating up. Some education boards and some state legislature are considering the teaching of Intelligent Design along with the theory of evolution. Naturally, the scientific world is up in arms, not to mention the ACLU. The evolutionists insist that the Intelligent Design theory is just a way to insert religion into school and claim that this is strictly forbidden by the constitution. Well, that is pure nonsense, but that is their argument.

On the Abrams Report on MSNBC the other night, Abrams had the leader of a scientific organization, an evolutionist, and a scientist who believes in Intelligent Design on his program. All the evolutionist could do is repeat the same mantra: “Intelligent Design is religion. It is not science!” To be honest, I got tired of hearing her say the same thing over and over again, and not offering one single logical argument. On the other hand, the Design scientist kept insisting that he was not seeking to teach the God of the Bible, nor to specifically identify the Intelligent Designer. However, what he stated was that scientists constantly see, recognize and admit that there is design in the world. In case after case, that design is so complex, so incredible, that there is no other way to explain it other than to admit that it was in fact designed. And, design demands a Designer.

An incredible new find is an example of this. Paleontologists have found the eggs of Pterosaurs, flying reptiles of long ago. When fully grown, the adults would have had a wing span of 65 feet! Can you imagine that? Wow! Anyway, the scientists studying the bones of unborn pterosaurs have written of the incredible design features of these little beasts. They could have flown within days, if not hours of birth!

The challenge of explaining how something like this could have evolved by accident is simply mind boggling, and unbelievable, literally. You can read about this discovery at <http://www.apologeticspress.com/articles/2866>.

Anyway, what was so distressing about the Abrams program was the incredible bias of the scientist and of Abrams. At one point Abrams snobbishly said “Until I see something supporting Intelligent Design in a peer reviewed scientific journal, I am not going to believe it!” The Design scientist retorted that he had written such a review in the Smithsonian journal of science! Abrams just dismissed it by noting that his article was ridiculed by the scientific consensus of the day, and therefore, not worth consideration. Ask yourself, how many times has the “scientific consensus” has been dead wrong? History is full of examples!!

Do you realize how prejudiced the scientific world is against truly honest, objective investigation of the world around us? It is illustrated in the statement of the evolutionist. She stated: “Science seeks for natural explanations of the world. Intelligent Design suggests that God is the explanation of some of the mysteries around us, but that is unacceptable!” Not her precise words, but close.

Do you see the horrible logical fallacy? “Science is looking for natural explanations for our world” Thus, by defining the scientific method as the search for “natural explanations” that excludes the consideration of a Designer, although they are forced to admit the reality of Design! That is illogical and blind. True science, objective science, honest science, would say, we are going to look for any explanation for the world around us. If our investigation demands a Designer for the design we see, then we will proclaim that fact. And of course, the truth is that “the heavens declare the glory of God, and the firmament shows forth His handwork”